

MELVIN R. GOLDMAN (CA SBN 34097)
MGoldman@mofo.com
STEPHEN P. FRECCERO (CA SBN 131093)
SFreccero@mofo.com
DEREK F. FORAN (CA SBN 224569)
DForan@mofo.com
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: 415.268.7000
Facsimile: 415.268.7522

Attorneys for Defendants
EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORPORATION
and EPSON ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. M:07-1827 SI
MDL No. 1827

This Document Relates to:
Individual Case No. 10 CV 5577 SI

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING
SCHEDULING**

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,

Individual Case No. 10 CV 5577 SI

Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING
SCHEDULING**

v.

EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORPORATION
and EPSON ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.

Defendants.

WHEREAS, plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") filed the above captioned lawsuit on December 6, 2010 in United States District Court, Northern District of California;

WHEREAS, on March 31, 2011, the Court entered a stipulated order waiving service of the Complaint for Defendants and extending the time within which the Defendants must move against, answer, or otherwise respond to HP's Complaint to June 28, 2011 (see Dkt No. 18);

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a), to extend the time within which the Defendants must move against, answer or otherwise response to HP's Complaint;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, HP, on the one hand, and Defendants on the other hand, as follows:

1. Defendants will have until August 12, 2011, to move against, answer or otherwise respond to HP's Complaint.

2. If HP files an Amended Complaint before August 12, 2011, Defendants will have 45 days from the date the Amended Complaint is filed in the ECF to move against, answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint.

3. Entering into this stipulation does not effect a waiver of any defense under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12. This stipulation does not constitute a waiver of any challenge to personal jurisdiction by either Defendant.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

By: /s/ Lester Houtz

Dated: June 22, 2011

Fred Bartlit, Jr.
Mark E. Ferguson
Karma Giulianelli
Lester Houtz
Andre Pauka
Dan Brody
fred.bartlit@bartlit-beck.com
karma.giulianelli@bartlit-beck.com
lester.houtz@bartlit-beck.com
andre.pauka@bartlit-beck.com
dan.brody@bartlit-beck.com
BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR
& SCOTT LLP
1899 Wynkoop Street, Suite 800
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 592-3100
(303) 592-3140 (Fax)

Counsel for Plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company

By: /s/ Derek F. Foran

Melvin Goldman (CA SB NO. 34097)
Stephen P. Freccero (CA SB NO.
131093)
Derek F. Foran (CA SB NO. 224569)
mgoldman@mofo.com
sfreccero@mofo.com
dforan@mofo.com
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2482
(415) 268-7000
(415) 268-7522 (Fax)

*Counsel for Defendants Epson Imaging
Devices Corporation and Epson
Electronics America, Inc.*

Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories thereto
has been obtained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6/23/11



Hon. Susan Illston
United States District Judge